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Energy storage is necessary for all proposed fusion reactor systems. The plasma physics for confinement and primarily the energy transfer time determine the nature of the storage system. Discharge times vary from 0.7 ms for theta-pinch reactors to one to two seconds for tokamak reactors. Three classes of devices are available for energy storage—inductors, capacitors, and rotating machines. The transfer of the energy from the store imposes unusual switching requirements. The broad requirements for reactor energy stores and more specifically those for tokamak experimental power reactors (EPR) and for the Scyllac fusion test reactor (SFTTR) will be presented. Assessments and comparisons of alternative energy storage and transfer systems for these devices are to be discussed. The state of the pulsed superconducting inductive energy storage coils and homopolar development programs will be emphasized. Plans for tokamak ohmic-heating systems will be discussed briefly.

INTRODUCTION

Large fusion devices will require advancement of technology to meet the demands for the delivery of pulsed energy. For high-B systems superconducting coils, inertial storage, or other moderately fast energy storage and transfer systems will be needed to replace more expensive, lower energy density capacitive systems.

Toroidal and linear theta pinches, liners, Z-pinches, glass lasers, and tokamaks all require large, fast energy delivery systems. In the pinches and liners, magnetic fields for plasma compression are driven by these large energy systems, while tokamaks and toroidal Z-pinches require pulsed supplies for ohmic heating. The flash lamp supplies for glass lasers require about 100 MJ on the 0.1 to 0.5-ms time scale.

The toroidal reference theta-pinch reactor (RTPR) requires about 60 GJ delivered in 30 ms, the linear theta-pinch fusion/fission hybrid reactor needs about 25 GJ in 2 ms, and a liner reactor may require about 10 GJ in 1 ms. The ohmic-heating coils in present U.S. designs of tokamak EPR's have about 1-2 GJ of stored energy, and the storage currents must be reversed in 0.5 to 2 s to induce plasma current. Tokamak reactors require even larger ohmic-heating systems. Similar systems are needed for toroidal Z-pinches to ignite the plasma by ohmic heating.
The transition from capacitive storage to some alternative storage system was specified in the theta-pinch SFTR (6) by requiring a superconducting Magnetic Energy Transfer and Storage (METS) (7) system. In the RTPR, a METS system is required for staging between the implosion and compression fields.

Inertial storage has also been proposed for fusion systems, an early proposal being the NRL impoding liner system (8) with a self-excited, homopolar machine. More recently, the RTPR design has incorporated modules of homopolar machines to provide compressional field energy to bring the plasma to ignition. The energy is subsequently recovered at high efficiency, a necessary feature to achieve an energy balance in the reactor. Westinghouse, in a design study (9) for the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL), identified the potential economic advantage of homopolar machines for the RTPR. A subsequent study (10) gave more detail to the machine and considered other system problems.

Over the past year, Westinghouse (W), the University of Texas (UT), and LASL have participated in a further design study, sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), of the RTPR homopolar. The first phase of that study led to a fairly extensive conceptual engineering design (11) of the machine, which was used to determine the scale size model to construct for testing key features of the machine. That model, a 10-MJ, two-rotor machine, is now in final engineering design under the EPRI study. The construction of that machine, related component development, and its initial testing at W and LASL are the subject of a proposal (12) for a three year program.

Tokamak ohmic-heating systems and their energy sources have only recently begun to enjoy a more thorough analysis. The three EPR design studies (3-5) just begin to treat these power supplies. The Oak Ridge National Laboratory has examined (4) their EPR ohmic-heating system in more detail; and LASL, W, and UT are working on a joint ERDA study for a cost optimization of ac and dc machines in a number of circuits.

Nasar and Woodson (13) give information comparing pulsed power sources for energy density, transfer times, costs, and installation size and conclude that the pulsed-power technology is relatively advanced for fusion experimentation with needs for lower cost, higher power density supplies.

Schmiller (14) concludes that inductive energy storage units will only have a chance of competing with capacitive storage units if their higher energy densities can be utilized. He suggests subdividing inductive units and connecting them in parallel. For transfer times less than 1 ms he indicates that modules must be smaller than 1 MJ in size to be competitive.

Robson and coworkers (15) in discussing their homopolar machine used to implose liners point to the associated current switching problems and the need for a systems approach.

Most of the reviews (13,16-20) dealing with energy storage bear heavily on the technical aspects of a variety of systems and treat more lightly or not at all the importance of need for system optimization.

Toroidal Theta-Pinch Energy Supply

In the RTPR (1) 63 GJ is transferred to the compression coils in 30 msec, the coil is crowbarred during the burn by the closing of S2, and then the energy is returned to the homopolar. The circuit in Fig. 1 illustrates the process, with the capacitor C being the machine. The energy is supplied by 50 machines, each rated at 1.3 GJ, 11 kV, and...
12.25 MA. To achieve an energy balance, the energy must be recovered with a high efficiency, and the machine must have a 95% energy recovery in a full cycle. The estimated future cost is 0.66/J, giving a system cost of $300/kW of plant output.

The machine is to have eight rotors, operate at a surface speed of 277 m/sec, and use superconducting field coils. The current collection system will use solid copper-graphite brushes at a current density of 1550 A/cm². These features—high speed, high field, and high current density—are necessary characteristics of all machines needed for fusion applications. Discharge times vary according to the rotor inertia, but from a technological viewpoint this discharge time is not as important and fundamental a characteristic as are field, speed, and current density. The design selected for RTPR is illustrated in Fig. 2 (2 of the 8 counter-rotating drums are shown), and the machine characteristics are listed in Table I. The superconducting coils are enclosed in an iron shield and the return conductor is a cylindrical sleeve just outside the rotors. Rotor current flows axially and continues between rotors through brushes rubbing on sliprings on the inner surface of the extended rotor.

Theta-pinch systems are characterized by a fast heating cycle capacitive driven implosion shock heating or beam injection.
followed by adiabatic compression by fast rising magnetic fields. For the RTPR the 30-ms homopolar discharge time is too slow and the field must be held up after the heating cycle with a bridging circuit and compression field with 500-MJ pulsed energy capacity. The superconducting METS system being developed for the SFTR very nearly meets this requirement.

Scyllac Fusion Test Reactor Energy Supply

The SFTR is a high-$\alpha$, 40-m radius toroidal theta-pinch device. Energy for driving the compression coils for the adiabatic heating of the theta-pinch plasma is to be supplied from a superconducting METS system. The system has 128 cylindrical plastic dewars outside the plasma toroid, each dewar containing 10 energy-storage coils. The energy, to be pulsed into the compression coils in a 0.7-ms transfer period, is charged from a dc, low voltage power supply into a series-connected, modularized, superconducting inductive energy store.

Basic to the SFTR module concept are the high voltage and high current limits of 60 kV and 26 kA established for the superconducting energy-storage coils with a 2.5-T magnetic field to supply a 5.5-T compression field.

The total stored energy in the 1280 superconducting coils around the torus is 488 MJ.

The transfer capacitor for the L-C-L resonant circuit for the superconducting METS system is sized to store approximately half the energy during transfer.

The SFTR circuit is shown in Fig. 3, and the METS storage coil parameters and superconductor characteristics are given in Table II.

Tokamak Ohmic-Heating System Energy Supply

Plasma heating in tokamak systems is accomplished by transformer coupling of energy from pulsed ohmic-heating coil systems. The requirement to supply 1 to 2 GJ in 0.5 to 2 s is well met by the capacitive nature of the homopolar machine for resonating energy.
out of and back into the ohmic-heating coils. The situation for tokamak EPR's is different than for the high-$B$ SFTR. With the longer transfer period ac machines might well be more economical energy sources to drive the ohmic-heating systems. AC machines operated in a variable speed mode into a rectifier, much as a homopolar, appear as a capacitor in the ohmic-heating circuits.

To synthesize circuits for the more detailed studies required to establish an appropriate energy supply, Weldon(21) has reviewed the EPR design studies and calculated coupling coefficients for the ohmic-heating, equilibrium, shield, and decoupling coils and the plasma. These, together with coil characteristics maximum energy, current, and fields, are given in Tables III, IV, and V.

Of the several component arrangements that can be evolved, the joint study has arrived at a comparison of ac and dc machine energy systems to be made for the equivalent series circuits of Fig. 4 and also the Inall, dc circuit.

Figure 4 is used in the study to determine the necessary startup energy, transfer times, and ohmic-heating coil requirements. The ohmic-heating system, its transformer coupling to the plasma and other coils, and the plasma inductance are represented by the inductor. Variable plasma resistance is included in the analysis. A zero-dimension code is used to describe plasma buildup and incorporates resistive heating, impurity effects, bremsstrahlung, line radiation, charge exchange, plasma transport, etc. The steady state power supply charges the ohmic-heating coil with the "blip" resistor and pulsed rotating machine bypassed. Upon completion of charging the ohmic-heating coil the steady state supply is turned off and the rotating machine and blip resistor are switched into the circuit. A fast rising current or energy blip develops to ionize and heat the plasma. The resistor is crowbarred and the homopolar capacitor completes the energy transfer on the several second time scale and is bypassed during the burn. The circuit is sufficient for establishing the initial rate of change of current (10-50 MA/s), stored energy (1-2 GJ), the coil primary circuit parameters (peak voltages of about 30-60 kV, peak currents of about 150-300 kA, and volt-seconds of about 100-200) for typical tokamak EPR's.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>$L_{OH}$ = 0.933 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>$L_{EQ}$ = 4.33 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma inductance</td>
<td>$L_p$ = 11.16 $\mu$H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns OH coil</td>
<td>$N_{OH} = (1080)$ turns $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns EQ coil</td>
<td>$N_{EQ} = (345)$ turns $^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance OH coil</td>
<td>$L_{OH} = 7.1036$ H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance EQ coil</td>
<td>$L_{EQ} = 0.5$ H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling OH and EQ</td>
<td>$k_{OH,EQ} = 0.25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling EQ and plasma</td>
<td>$k_{EQ,P} = 0.25$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy EQ coil</td>
<td>$W_{EQ} = 0.9$ GJ $^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy OH coil</td>
<td>$W_{OH} = 0.883$ GJ $^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. OH current</td>
<td>$I_{OH} = 40$ kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. EQ current</td>
<td>$I_{EQ} = 60$ kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH startup time</td>
<td>$t_{OH} = 1$ s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn time</td>
<td>$t_B = 20-50$ s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak field OH coil</td>
<td>$B_{OH} = 3.2$ T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak field EQ coil</td>
<td>$B_{EQ} = 3.7$ T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) The number of turns calculated is different depending upon whether it is calculated from the inductance per single turn (1080), tabulated ampere turns (1135), or the tabulated turns per coil (1182).

(b) The number of turns is equal to that given in ANL/CTR-75-2, page IV-33 except the number has been divided by 4 to reflect the change from 15 kA to 60 kA.

(c) Calculated from the total energy and a current of 60 kA.

(d) The energy given here is the energy of the self inductance at peak current.
### TABLE IV. GA E and F Coils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>L'_E = 0.514 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>L'_F = 5.85 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma inductance</td>
<td>L'_P = 6.22 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns E coil</td>
<td>N_E = 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns F coil</td>
<td>N_F = 155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance E coil</td>
<td>L_E = 12.8 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance F coil</td>
<td>L_F = 140.0 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance E coil</td>
<td>R_E = 8.73 mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance F coil</td>
<td>R_F = 4.87 mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling E and F coils</td>
<td>k_E,F = 0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling E coil and plasma</td>
<td>k_E_P = 0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling F coil and plasma</td>
<td>k_F_P = 0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy E coil</td>
<td>W_E = 1.28 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy plasma</td>
<td>W_P = 408 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy F coil</td>
<td>W_F = 373 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy F coil-plasma system</td>
<td>W_FP = 295 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. E coil current</td>
<td>I_E = 448 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. plasma current</td>
<td>I_P = 174 MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. F coil current a plasma</td>
<td>I_FP = 71.82 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH startup time</td>
<td>t_OH = 2 r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn time</td>
<td>t_B = 77.5 - 115 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak field E coil</td>
<td>B_E = (6T)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) F coil current is approximately equal to the plasma current divided by the number of F coil turns.

(b) The lumped inductance of the F coil is best calculated from the stored energy in the F coil system with correct current for plasma equilibrium in each F subcoil.

The series circuit keeps the charging power supply connected and it does not see the high voltage developed across the ohmic-heating coil; however, a high voltage-high current commutation circuit must be in parallel with the "blip" resistor. Thus in all circuit avoids the high voltage-high current commutation circuit by using an L-R blip element and a very large parallel connected capacitor across the ohmic-heating coil, the homopolar energy source, and the steady state power supply. The power supply is commutated out of the circuit by backing off its voltage until the current is transferred to the capacitor with the SCR's in series with the supply ceasing to conduct. These SCR's interrupt at low voltage and no current but must withstand the full ohmic-heating coil voltage at a later time.

Early results of the study indicate that both turbogenerators and salient pole generators of nearly state-of-the-art design can meet the tokamak ohmic-heating requirements by operating between half and full speed.

### Homopolar Machine Development

Most of the fusion systems require high pulsed currents. Homopolars are being developed in several places to satisfy these needs.

### TABLE V. ORNL OH and Shield Coils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>L'_OH = 0.774 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shield coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>L'_S = 12.25 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma inductance</td>
<td>L'_P = 6.22 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoupling coil inductance per turn</td>
<td>L'_D = 11.59 µH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns OH coil</td>
<td>N_OH = 400 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns shield coil</td>
<td>N_S = 16 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. turns decoupling coil</td>
<td>N_D = 16 turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance OH coil</td>
<td>L_OH = 3.14 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance shield coil</td>
<td>L_S = 12.53 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductance decoupling coil</td>
<td>L_D = 0.297 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling OH and shield coils</td>
<td>k_OH,S = 0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling OH and plasma</td>
<td>k_OH,P = 0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling OH and decoupling coils</td>
<td>k_OH,D = 0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling shield coil and plasma</td>
<td>k_S,P = 0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling shield and decoupling coils</td>
<td>k_S,D = 0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupling plasma and decoupling coil</td>
<td>k_P,U = 0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy OH coil</td>
<td>W_OH = 1.90 GJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy shield coil</td>
<td>W_S = 318 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy shield coil</td>
<td>W_P = 171 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. energy plasma</td>
<td>W = 325 MJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. OH current</td>
<td>I_OH = 175 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. shield current</td>
<td>I_S = 450 kA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. plasma current</td>
<td>I_P = 7.2 MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH startup time</td>
<td>t_OH = 2 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burn time</td>
<td>t_B = 100 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak field OH coil</td>
<td>B_OH = 6.5 T</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Robson and coworkers have described the homopolar shown in Fig. 5, which is a self exciting machine for imploding liners. Five megajoules of energy are stored in each of two constant stress, counter-rotating beryllium-copper rotors. The maximum rotor speed is 18,000 rpm. Copper-graphite brushes are used on the inner slipring and copper plated carbon fibers contact the periphery.

The limitations of very fast discharge homopolars have been presented by UT, and a small 0.36-MJ, 1-ms machine is being constructed. Figure 6 shows the two counter- rotating aluminum rotors which will discharge 2.8 MA in 1.3 ms from 14,000 rpm into a short circuit or 1 MA in 3 ms into a 0.25-μH, 60-μohm load from 28,000 rpm. The machine capacity is 16.6 F and m is a 25-μH, 15-μohm internal impedance. The oil hydrostatic journal bearing supported rotors are driven by air turbines. The field coil is pulse excited with an energy store of about 1.2 MJ having a 4-T average field between brushes.

UT has also reported on a conceptual design study of a 50-MJ homopolar system as energy storage for both the toroidal and ohmic-heating coils of an experimental tokamak device. The machine is to have ferromagnetic rotors and normal field
coils and will deliver 200 kA at 125 V in 3 s from 268 rad/s. Several machines connected in series are planned to store 100 to 200 MJ.

The joint LASL-UT-M study has led to a 1.3-GJ homopolar design as characterized in Table VI. The proposed model machine is scaled one-third each in length, diameter, and drum thickness and will have two counter-rotating rotors. Thus with a NbTi excitation coil and a reduced average field of 30 kG on the drum the energy stored will be 10 MJ.

**TABLE VI. 1.3-GJ, 30-ms Discharge SFTR Homopolar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotors</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage</td>
<td>11,100 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>12.25 x 10^6 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor diameter</td>
<td>2.167/ m (85.3 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drum length</td>
<td>2.06 m (81 in.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron shield flux density</td>
<td>18 kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average gap density</td>
<td>29 kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average axial density</td>
<td>50 kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak field</td>
<td>80 kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average radial field</td>
<td>15 kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average axial field</td>
<td>55.4 kG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 1.3-GJ machine losses are estimated at 5% and as high as 12.5% in the 10-MJ model because of indirect scaling of some of the losses. The model machine will simulate some of the rotor design and stress problems and most of the current collection problems of the large machine with a maximum slipring velocity of 277 m/s and 15.5 MA/m^2 brush current density. The peak current will be 1.5 MA delivered at 719 V into an 8.3 μH load. The model machine was originally designed to have an intrinsically stable superconducting field coil storing 8 MJ and is undergoing redesign to use a cryostable coil. The machine can be made to operate with a 1-s discharge period and a 46-kA peak current into a 9.5-MH load.

Superconducting Inductive Energy Storage

Early reactor designs and studies clearly indicate that to achieve overall power balance will require superconducting systems. Design of superconducting ohmic-heating coil systems for tokamaks is in the formative stage being concerned more with solving the magnetics and the system electrical circuit requirements, i.e., parallel versus series connected coils, high current versus high voltage across the coils, and interspersed blip forming resistors connected between series connected coils. Some small scale tests are underway to develop and characterize superconductor.

The SFTR-METS pulsed superconducting coils have reached a more advanced stage of development. An engineering system study was performed to minimize costs for the MLTS system. This study, together with the high refrigeration load associated with the use of dissipative superconducting switches, led to the abandonment of the superconducting switches and the adoption of the simple resonant L-C-L, capacitive transfer circuit with a HVDC vacuum interrupter to initiate the transfer. The SFTR design study established goals for the present work at LASL. Four different 300-kJ coils, one by LASL and three by industry, have been built as part of a development toward these goals.

A monolithic conductor, 2700 filament, 6 Cu to NbTi, 300-kJ, edge wound single layer coil was built and successfully tested by LASL. The coil was operated at 12.5 kA with a stored energy of 366 kJ. Pulsed energy transfers were made for periods as short as 1 ms and peak voltages as high as 35 kV with 10-kA current.

The three industrial coils are to operate at 0.0 kA, 2.3 T, 40-kV peak transfer voltage, and 2-ms transfer period. They are designed to operate at 67% along the load line, and
the coils made by Intemagnetics General Corporation (IGC) and Magnetic Corporation of America (MCA) have less than 0.3% energy loss during the charge-discharge cycle.

The IGC coil uses a single layer, edge wound cable of 319 multistrand, 0.39-mm diameter CuNi-Cu matrix, NbTi multifilament wires. The coil is vacuum impregnated with epoxy resin. Testing has reached currents up to 3.2 kA with normal transitions occurring on a random basis. The coil has been disassembled for redesign of the terminal supports to prevent lead conductor motion as a possible solution to the limited operation. The coil is shown in Fig. 7.

The MCA coil uses a single layer, edge wound, 1224 multistrand braid made of 0.127-mm diameter, 1.58 Cu to NbTi monofilament wires. The coil structure is open with the braid epoxy resin potted onto a helical tray with spacers to form the winding. Pulsed and hysteretic energy losses for the coil were well below the specified requirement. The coil operated to 4.8 kA but was limited in performance from heating damage which may have occurred at a lower current level during a normal cycle when operating without a quench detection circuit.

The Westinghouse coil is made with a four layer winding composed of 72 active strands of 0.81-mm diameter Cu matrix, NbTi multifilament wires in twelve bundles to form a cable wrapped around an insulated metal strap. The structure is open for the cooling. The cable lies in grooves and is further stabilized by tension and an overwrap of fiberglass and epoxy resin with care exercised to prevent the resin from direct contact with the conductor strands. The coil has not been tested to date.

Pulsed superconducting energy storage coils of a similar nature using superconducting to normal transition switches for discharges of more than 50 kV are reported by Komarek and Ulbricht. (32)

CONCLUSIONS

Technology development for inertial and inductive energy storage systems to supply
pulsed power for fusion devices is well underway. Conceptual studies are beginning to become more formalized into optimized engineering concepts and some hardware modeling is beginning to take place. Because of the large amounts of energy required for fusion reactors and the smaller EPR's, large extrapolations from these model experiments will remain for developments still later in time. Examples of the problem are apparent in the SFR work with one coil to be tested in the near future at 400 kW, 2.5 T, 60 kV, and 25 kA compared with 1280 of these to supply nearly 500 MJ to a compression field. Similarly, tokamak EPR's ohmic-heating coils will require several hundred kiloamperes current and 1 to 2 GJ pulsed energy in 1 to 2 seconds. Conventional turbogenerators rated at 1500 MVA exist today; however, it remains to be determined whether such machines can be adapted to the tokamak ohmic-heating systems and modified to withstand pulsed loads. The largest efficient homopolar machines being considered for the near future will deliver only ten's of MJ's.
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