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PARAMETERIZATION OF PION PRODUCTION AND REACTION CROSS SECTIONS AT LAMPF ENERGIES

by

R. L. Burness and E. S. Smith

ABSTRACT

A parameterization of pion production and reaction cross sections is developed for eventual use in modeling neutrino production by protons in a beam stop. Emphasis is placed upon smooth parameterizations for proton energies up to 800 MeV, for all pion energies and angles, and for a wide range of materials. The resulting representations of the data are well-behaved and can be used for extrapolation to regions where there are no measurements.

I. OVERVIEW

In order to model the production of neutrinos from the LAMPF beam stop, we require knowledge of pion production by protons and pion reaction cross sections over a broad range of all kinematic variables involved and for many materials. Our general philosophy in modeling the neutrino production is to emphasize smooth and simple parameterizations, which can be used over a wide range of energies, angles and materials at the expense of not reproducing the measured pion cross sections exactly. This model of production and decay is then compared with data that directly measure the distribution of pion decays inside the beam stop. The parameters of the model are then tuned, within reasonable limits, to reproduce these data. The Monte Carlo program, which uses this parameterization to model the neutrino flux, will be described elsewhere. For the present paper we confine ourselves to the form of the parameterization used as input to the Monte Carlo program. No tuning of parameters has yet been made.

The proton beam incident on the LAMPF beam stop has a typical energy of 775 MeV.* As the proton beam is absorbed in the beam stop, pions are produced all along the proton track. Pions come to rest in a typical proper time of $4 \times 10^{-10}$ s, allowing $\sim 2\%$ to decay in flight. Negative pions at rest are immediately absorbed into the nucleus from atomic orbitals and only positive pions decay. Thus, the beam-stop neutrino source is

* The proton energy exiting the Linac is 800 MeV. The two pion production targets A1 and A2, with usual thicknesses of 3.0 and 4.0 cm of ATJ graphite, reduce the beam energy by 25 MeV.
primarily due to the decay of positive pions and unpolarized* muons at rest, which decay isotropically. It is therefore important to model pion production down to zero pion kinetic energy, a region difficult to measure and largely unimportant for many applications. The most complete set of pion production cross sections was measured for protons of 730-MeV incident kinetic energy. The differential cross sections were measured over a wide range of pion energies ($T_\pi = 30-553$ MeV) and scattering angles ($\theta = 15-150^\circ$) for many targets. A second experimental survey was taken for protons with incident energy of 585 MeV. This experiment did not cover the dynamic range of the former, but was fairly complete nevertheless.

The LAMPF beam stop proper is water-cooled copper, but protons also interact in the isotope-production stringers, which contain various amounts of heavy materials (e.g. Cesium and Europium) that vary according to the demand for radioisotopes. The beam stop of the spallation neutron source ISIS of the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory is made of uranium. It is, therefore, of general interest to have parameterizations that can be used for all targets.

As pions slow down in the beam stop, they may be absorbed or scattered, which changes both the energy and angular spectrum of the original pions. A typical absorption or inelastic-scattering cross section is 500 mb (i.e., $\sigma_{\text{abs}} + \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \sim 1$ b). This corresponds to a scattering length of 100 g/cm$^2$ or 10 cm in copper. Thus, approximately half of the produced pions interact strongly before they decay and affect the neutrino spectrum accordingly. Measurements of the pion-reaction cross section, which includes both absorption and inelastic scattering, are not extensive and rely on a variety of experiments to extract their result. Thus, it is difficult to properly account for the various systematic errors.

II. PION PRODUCTION

There exist two measurements of pion-production cross sections, at proton kinetic energies of $T_p = 585$ and 730 MeV, that have covered a wide variety of nuclei as well as a broad range of produced pion energies ($T_\pi$) and angles ($\theta$). We, therefore, use their data as a basis for our parameterization and use other measurements only for various checks. The doubly differential positive-pion cross section for a given material Z and fixed proton kinetic energy $T_p$ is written in the following form:

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega_\pi dT_\pi} = \text{Amp}(\theta) e^{-\left(\frac{T_\pi - T_\pi^0}{\sigma(\theta)}\right)^2} \times \left(1 + e^{\frac{T_\pi - T_\pi^0}{\bar{T}}}\right)^{-1} \text{ (mb/MeV/sr)}.$$ 

This approximation of the energy dependence by a Gaussian function is similar to that used by Dombeck in a calculation of neutrino fluxes from in-flight pion decay. The parameters $\text{Amp}(\theta)$, $\bar{T}$, and $\sigma$ were obtained by fitting the data in references using standard minimization techniques, but weighting all points equally, at the two proton energies $T_p = 585$ and 730 MeV for hydrogen, carbon, copper, and lead. These values have in turn

* Integrated over the spectrum.
been expressed in as simple a form as possible to cover the entire range of variables in the
problem. The functional dependence on \( T \) is simple, it appears only in the exponent of the
Gaussian and in the high-energy cut-off factor. Simple analytic forms suffice for the angle
dependence of the Gaussian energy parameters \( \bar{T} \) and \( \sigma \):

\[
\bar{T}(\theta) = 18 + 330 e^{-\left( \frac{\sigma}{\bar{T}} \right)}
\]

\[
\sigma(\theta) = \sigma_A e^{-\left( \frac{\theta}{\sigma} \right)}
\]

\[
T_A(Z, T_P) = \frac{T_A(Z, 730)(T_P - 585) - T_A(Z, 585)(T_P - 730)}{730 - 585}
\]

\[
\sigma_A(Z, T_P) = \frac{\sigma_A(Z, 730)(T_P - 585) - \sigma_A(Z, 585)(T_P - 730)}{730 - 585}
\]

Here, the secondary parameters \( T_A \) and \( \sigma_A \) are assumed to have a piece-wise linear de-
pendence on proton energy \( T_P \) and to have constant values over step-wise ranges in atomic
number \( Z \). The values for \( T_A \) and \( \sigma_A \) over the appropriate \( Z \) range are given in Table I.

| Table I. Values for Parameters \( T_A \) and \( \sigma_A \) at \( T_P = 585, 730 \) MeV and for \( Z \) ranges in \( Z \). |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Proton Energy** | **Atomic Number** | **\( T_A \)** | **\( \sigma_A \)** |
| 585 MeV | 1 | 64.5 | 155 |
| | 2-8 | 28.9 | 130 |
| | 8-92 | 26.0 | 135 |
| 730 MeV | 1 | 53.0 | 127 |
| | 2-8 | 34.2 | 150 |
| | 8-92 | 29.9 | 166 |

The dependence of the amplitude \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \) on material and proton energy as well as
scattering angle is nontrivial, so we have chosen to parameterize it with \( B \)-splines over
the allowed angular region \( (0 \leq \theta \leq 180^\circ) \):

\[
\text{Amp}(\theta) = \text{Norm}(Z) \times \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n B_n
\]

\[
a_1 = \min \left[ 27 - 4 \left( \frac{730 - T_P}{730 - 585} \right)^2, 27 \right]
\]

\[
a_2 = 18.2
\]

\[
a_3 = 8
\]

\[
a_4 = 13 + (Z - 12)/10
\]

\[
a_5 = 9 + (Z - 12)/10 - (T_P - 685)/20
\]
\[ \text{Norm}(Z) = \sum_{m=0}^{3} c_m (\ln Z)^m Z^{1/3} \]
\[ c_0 = 0.8851 \]
\[ c_1 = -0.1015 \]
\[ c_2 = 0.1459 \]
\[ c_3 = -0.0265 \]

Pion production from hydrogen requires some modification of the parameters listed above: the coefficients \( a_1, a_2, a_4, \) and \( a_5 \) are doubled in order to reproduce the measured angular distributions.

We digress for a moment to make some general comments about \( B \)-splines, as many people are not familiar with them. Splines are piece-wise continuous polynomials defined on some specified interval. Parabolic splines, the ones used in this paper, are polynomials of second degree, which assure well defined functional behavior within the interval of interest. Parabolas are defined between points on the interval called knots, where they are joined together by continuity conditions. For example, the splines used to specify \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \) have a knot sequence of \((0, 0, 0, 30, 70, 180, 180, 180^\circ)\) and are shown in Fig. 1. For this set of splines, the second derivative is allowed to be discontinuous at 30 and 70°. The function itself is allowed to be discontinuous at 0 and 180°. If one of the knots had been specified twice, then the splines would have a discontinuous first derivative, which we do not allow. The knot sequence was chosen in such a way that the splines could easily be molded to fit the functional form of \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \) (see Fig. 2).

The splines represented on the angular interval are decomposed into a basis, called \( B \)-splines, which is normalized so that
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} B_n = 1 \]

The \( B \)-splines are completely specified by the interval, the knot sequence and the degree of their polynomials. Any function on this interval that matches the continuity conditions of the splines, e.g. \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \), can then be written as a linear combination of the \( B \)-splines. In this case, the coefficients of the expansion \((a_n)\) are used to specify the continuous behavior of \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \) as a function of atomic number \( Z \) and proton energy \( T_p \) as given above. This parameterization is very flexible and allows one to systematically vary the amplitude of the cross section at any angle of interest. For example, one may easily tune the amplitude at 0° by changing the coefficient \( a_1 \). The parameterization of the amplitude \( \text{Amp}(\theta) \) is shown in Fig. 2 compared to the values obtained by least-squares fits to the data. The normalization factor Norm has been adjusted to give the measured total cross section at \( T_p = 730 \) MeV. However, total cross sections are handled separately for convenience, as indicated below.

The high-energy cut-off function is intended to take account of kinematical factors, which inhibit pion production in hydrogen or at forward angles. Hydrogen is treated as a special case, and the mean cut-off \( T_F \) is taken to be the pion energy in the reaction.
Fig. 1. Basis splines for the parameterization of $\text{Amp}(\theta)$ of the differential pion production cross section.
Amplitude of Cross Section (\(\mu b\))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(T_p) (MeV)</th>
<th>Hydrogen</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>Copper</th>
<th>Lead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>585 MeV</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730 MeV</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>765 MeV</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 MeV</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Graph" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. The parameterization of Amp(\(\theta\)) is shown superimposed on the fitted values of the parameter. The curves at \(T_p = 765\) and 800 MeV show the parameterization extended to higher energies where no data are available.

\(pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d\). For other nuclei, the cut off is used to insure energy conservation \((T_F = T_p - 140\ \text{MeV} - 2B)\). Resolution determines the sharpness of the cut off, which is controlled by the parameter \(B\) currently set to 25 MeV.

The total cross section obtained by integration of the parameterization of the differential cross section reproduces the published cross sections to \(\sim 10\%\). The absolute cross section is handled separately to allow flexibility in understanding the effects of overall normalization. For the moment we assume the total cross section is a linear function of \(T_p\). Above \(T_p = 585\) MeV the curve is determined by the quoted cross sections at \(T_p = 730\) and 585 MeV from references.\(^3,4\) Below the assumed break point of \(T_p = 585\) MeV, the total
cross section decreases linearly to zero at $T_p = 325$ MeV.* This behavior is shown in Fig. 3. Measured cross sections from Refs. 3 and 4 are used to determine the pion production total cross-section dependence on atomic number $Z$. A $Z^{1/3}$ dependence is used, with fit coefficients from Refs. 3 and 4. Below $Z = 12$, an additional factor linear in $Z$ is employed to better fit the data down to helium; as for the doubly differential cross sections, pion production on hydrogen is a special case. The algorithms used to calculate the $Z$ dependence are:

$$\sigma(Z > 11) = \sigma_0(T_p) Z^{1/3}$$
$$\sigma(1 < Z < 12) = \sigma_{12}(T_p) (Z/6)^{1/3} (0.77 + 0.0392)$$
$$\sigma(Z = 1) = \sigma_1(T_p)$$

The coefficients as a function of proton energy $T_p$ are given in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_p$</th>
<th>$\sigma_0$</th>
<th>$\sigma_1$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>585 MeV</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>730 MeV</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our parameterization of pion production is compared to the data in Refs. 3, 4, 8, and 9 in Figs. 4–13. The data at $T_p = 730$ MeV have been relied on most heavily because they are the most extensive. The parameterization, therefore, reproduces these data quite well. The agreement for hydrogen is good, given that special kinematical considerations apply. The curves systematically underestimate the data for $T_p = 585$ MeV at about 50° and overestimate the data for $T_p = 730$ MeV and 20°. These conditions indicate either limitations in the basic form of the parameterization or flaws in the data because they do not represent smooth variations as a function of proton energy and scattering angle. Cross checks of cross sections predicted at higher energies and forward angles are shown in Figs. 6 and 9, where the extrapolations are compared to data from Refs. 8 and 9, which were not used to fix any parameters. On the whole, this representation of pion production is convincing and predicts the cross sections for all materials, proton energies below 800 MeV, and all production angles.

**III. PION-REACTION CROSS SECTIONS**

The interaction of pions in the beam stop is the sum of elastic, inelastic, and absorption processes. Elastic scattering accounts for about a third of the total cross section. However, for pion energies above $T_x = 100$ MeV, elastic scattering is confined to a cone less than

---

* The total cross section has dropped by two orders of magnitude at this point. This can be estimated from the fluxes of secondary pion beams at the Rochester cyclotron, produced from an internal 240-MeV proton beam.** See also Ref. 22.
Total Cross Sections for $\pi^+$ Production

Fig. 3. We show the assumed dependence of the total pion-production cross section on proton energy $T_p$. The data points are from Refs. 3, 4, 13, and 21. Also see previous footnote.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Hydrogen ($T_p = 585\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 4. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from hydrogen is compared with the data from Ref. 4.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Hydrogen ($T_p = 730\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 5. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from hydrogen is compared with the data from Ref. 3.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Hydrogen ($T_p = 800\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 6. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from hydrogen is compared with the data from Ref. 9. These data are a test of the parameterization, as they were not used to fix any parameters. This experiment is able to resolve the kinematic peak of $pp \rightarrow \pi^+d$. 
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Carbon ($T_p = 585\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 7. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from carbon is compared with the data from Ref. 4.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Carbon ($T_p = 730\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 8. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from carbon is compared with the data from Ref. 3.
Fig. 9. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from carbon is compared with the data from Ref. 8. These data are a test of the parameterization, as they were not used to fix any parameters.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Copper ($T_p = 585\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 10. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from copper is compared with the data from Ref. 4.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Copper ($T_p = 730\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 11. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from copper is compared with the data from Ref. 3.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Lead ($T_p = 585\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 12. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from lead is compared with the data from Ref. 4.
Inclusive $\pi^+$ Production from Lead ($T_p = 730\text{MeV}$)

Fig. 13. The parameterization for $\pi^+$ production from lead is compared with the data from Ref. 3.
30° and does not change the pion energy appreciably. It is, therefore, unlikely that this process can change the distribution of pions stopping in the beam stop, so we do not treat this process in detail.

We define the pion-reaction cross section as the sum of inelastic scattering and absorption cross sections:

\[ \sigma_{\text{reac}} = \sigma_{\text{abs}} + \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \]

The absorption cross section \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) includes both true pion absorption and single charge exchange, where the \( \pi^+ \) is converted into a \( \pi^0 \). Because the \( \pi^0 \) does not produce neutrinos and decays almost instantaneously, its effect is the same as true absorption. As a note, we might add that the experiments measure the sum of true absorption and charge exchange. The true absorption cross sections are obtained by subtracting the single charge exchange, typically about 15% of the sum. The inelastic cross section \( \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \) can be obtained in two ways. Both methods rely on calculations of the elastic cross section that are subtracted from either measured scattering rates or the total cross section.\(^{15,16}\)

We have chosen to parameterize the reaction cross section, as it is determined solely from the total and elastic cross sections. We use the usual form\(^7\)

\[ \sigma_{\text{reac}} = \sigma_0(T_\pi) A\alpha(T_\pi) \]

where \( A \) is the atomic weight and \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \alpha \) are both functions of the pion energy \( T_\pi \). For maximum flexibility we parameterize \( \sigma_0 \) and \( \alpha \) with B-splines:

\[
\text{Exponent: } \alpha = a_1 \\
\quad \text{or } \alpha = a_1 \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n B_n \quad (0 \leq T_\pi \leq 50 \text{ MeV}) \]
\[
\text{ } \\
\quad (50 \leq T_\pi \leq 600 \text{ MeV})
\]

\[
\text{where } a_1 = 1.023 \\
a_2 = 0.816 \\
a_3 = 0.501 \\
a_4 = 0.715 \\
a_5 = 0.670
\]

\[
\text{Spline knot sequence } = (50, 50, 50, 120, 250, 600, 600, 600 \text{ MeV})
\]

\(^*\) Double-charge-exchange cross sections are an order of magnitude smaller than single-charge-exchange cross sections and are, therefore, not treated here.
Normalization: \[ \sigma_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{6} a_n b_n \]
\[ a_0 = 0 \]
\[ a_1 = 1.993 \]
\[ a_2 = 139.753 \]
\[ a_3 = 65.142 \]
\[ a_4 = 39.328 \]
\[ a_6 = 33.000 \]

Spline knot sequence = (0, 0, 0, 120, 250, 350, 600, 600, 600 MeV).

The parameterizations are plotted in Fig. 14. We have extended the parameterizations smoothly beyond the measurements by requiring that the exponent be approximately one below \( T_\pi = 50 \text{ MeV} \) and \( 2/3 \) at \( 600 \text{ MeV} \). At lower energies, the shape of the normalization \( \sigma_0 \) is dominated by the \( (3,3) \) resonance. At higher energies we extend the parameterization slowly downward in a shape similar to that measured for the \( \pi^+p \) total cross section.

The decomposition of the reaction cross section into \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \) is given by

\[ \frac{\sigma_{\text{abs}}}{\sigma_{\text{reac}}} = \sum_{n=0}^{2} c_n (\ln A)^n \]
\[ c_0 = 0.2927 \]
\[ c_1 = 0.0246 \]
\[ c_2 = 0.0074 \]

The decomposition is for \( T_\pi = 165 \text{ MeV} \) (Fig. 15); however, we use it at all energies. This simplification constrains the shapes of \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \) to be the same as a function of \( T_\pi \). There is no \textit{a priori} reason for this to be the case, but on the average it seems to represent the data (see Fig. 16). No clear trends are evident that might be used to construct a different parameterization.

The general features of inelastic pion scattering from nuclei are consistent with quasi-free scattering. The peak in the energy spectrum of the scattered pion follows the kinematics of free \( \pi^+p \) kinematics; its width is consistent with Fermi broadening. Even in heavy nuclei, multiple scattering processes are less than half of the summed inelastic cross section at 163 MeV. The angular distributions vary with energy, but are similar to \( \pi^+p \) elastic scattering distributions. Since, in the Monte Carlo program, we integrate over both energy and angle, we assume a simple \( s \)- and \( p \)-wave distribution with the \( p \)-wave coefficient equal to \( 5/6 \) and the \( s \)-wave coefficient equal to \( 1/6 \). We then impose the kinematics of free-nucleon scattering.
Fig. 14. The parameterization is shown for the normalization and exponent of the $\pi^+$ reaction cross section, which is taken to be of the form $\sigma_{\text{reac}} = \sigma_0 A^\alpha$. The data points are fits determined in Ref. 7.
Fig. 15. The decomposition of \( \sigma_{\text{reac}} \) into \( \sigma_{\text{abs}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inelastic}} \) is parameterized at \( T_\pi = 165 \text{ MeV} \). The values for the ratio are taken from Ref. 7.
IV. SUMMARY

The prediction of the neutrino flux from the decay of stopping pions produced from proton interactions in the LAMPF beam stop requires extensive knowledge of pion production and pion reaction cross sections. Theoretical models do not predict these cross sections in enough detail to be used for the flux calculation. We have, therefore, turned to representations of the data, which are well-behaved and can be extrapolated smoothly to regions where there are no measurements. This has been achieved either through linear
approximations or parabolic spline representations of parameters that describe the cross sections.

LAMPF Experiment 866\(^1\) has measured the distribution of pions stopping inside a mockup beam stop. The parameterization described in this paper will be used to reproduce these data by tuning parameters that are not constrained by the measured pion cross sections. This will be accomplished by first determining the sensitivity of the stopping pion distributions to the overall cross-section normalizations. Further differences will be resolved by adjusting the parameters of the pion-reaction cross section, which are poorly measured in relation to the cross sections for pion production.
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