Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, Vol. 9, No. 1, January–February 2007, 23–28

Total synthesis of two new dihydrostilbenes from *Bulbophyllum odoratissimum*

W.-G. ZHANG†‡, J.-G. LIN†, Z.-Y. NIU†, R. ZHAO†, D.-L. LIU‡, N.-L. WANG‡ and X.-S. YAO‡*

†Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, Shenyang 110016, China

‡The Research Centre of Traditional Chinese Medicines and Natural Products, Research Institute of Tsinghua University, Shenzhen 518057, China

(Received 25 March 2005; revised 21 June 2005; in final form 1 July 2005)

A total synthetic route of two new dihydrostilbenes 5-(2-benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-ylethyl)-6-methoxy benzo[1,3]dioxole-4-ol (**1**) and 5-(2-benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-ylethyl)benzo[1,3]dioxole-4,7-diol (**2**), which were isolated from *Bulbophyllum odoratissimum* Lindl. with significant cytotoxicity toward human cancer cell lines, was developed via Horner reaction etc. The natural products **1** and **2** were obtained in 10.5% and 3.3% overall yield, respectively.

Keywords: Dihydrostilbene; Total synthesis; *Bulbophyllum odoratissimum* Lindl

1. Introduction

Dihydrostilbenes have long been considered as interesting natural products, which had been isolated from many primitive green plants to be used as endogenous growth regulators [1]. Recently, some dihydrostilbenes were found to be tubulin polymerisation inhibitors and to display strong antimitotic activity toward a broad spectrum of human cancer lines [2]. In our effort to search for naturally occurring cancer cell growth inhibitors present in traditional Chinese medicines using the *Pyricularia oryzae* bioassay [3], two new dihydrostilbenes, 5-(2-benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-ylethyl)-6-methoxybenzo[1,3]dioxole-4-ol **1** and 5-(2-benzo[1,3]-dioxole-5-ylethyl)benzo[1,3]dioxole-4,7-diol **2**, were obtained from *Bulbophyllum odoratissimum* Lindl., a folk herbal medicine for the treatment of phthisis and rheumatism in the Chinese community [4]. Both **1** and **2** exhibited significant cytotoxic activities against MCF-7, NCI-H460 and SF-268 human cancer cell lines using the tetrazolium dye reduction assay (MTT assay). Our continued interest in searching for new anti-tumour agents and

*Corresponding author. Email: yaoxs@mail.sz.tsinghua.edu.cn

Journal of Asian Natural Products Research
ISSN 1028-6020 print/ISSN 1477-2213 online © 2007 Taylor & Francis
http://www.tandf.co.uk/journals
DOI: 10.1080/10286020500289543

understanding their structure–activity relationships prompted us to develop an efficient total synthetic route for the natural dihydrostilbenes **1** and **2**.

2. Results and discussion

The general approach to the synthesis of **1** and **2** was as described in scheme 1.

Following the reported methodologies [5,6] with only slight modifications, aldehyde **8** was prepared from methyl gallate **3** in 44.0% yield, which was a requisite intermediate for the total synthesis of **2**. The synthesis of aldehyde **13**, a key synthetic intermediate of **1**, was realised in five practical steps from **8**. The Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of **8** with *m*-CPBA in the presence of Na_2HPO_4 gave phenol **9**, which was treated with methyl iodide under alkaline condition to furnish methoxy derivative **10**. To introduce a formyl group to the 5-position of 4- (benzyloxy)-6-methoxybenzo[1,3]dioxole **10** with a satisfactory regioselectivity was a crucial step of the synthesis of **13**. When we attempted formylation of **10** by Vilsmeier reaction under a variety of conditions, we encountered failure since we could not detect the presence of any 5-formylated product in the reaction mixture. We considered that the poor reactivity should be due chiefly to the steric hindrance of the 4-benzyloxy group, and this assumption was readily proven valid by experiments. The benzyl group of **10** was removed by catalytic hydrogenation to form 4-OH derivative **11**. In our investigation of the synthesis of aldehyde **12**, we found that Vilsmeier reaction of **11** introduced an aldehyde group, –CHO, onto the aromatic ring, mostly *ortho* to the –OH. 4-Hydroxy-6-methoxybenzo[1,3]dioxole-5-carbaldehyde (**12**) was obtained in 78.8% yield while none



Scheme 1. Synthesis of the new dihydrostilbenes **1** and **2**. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH (80%), B $(OCH_3)_3$, DMF, 10°C, 2 h then $PhCH_2Br$, room temperature (rt), 3 h; followed by 2 N HCl, rt, 0.5 h; (b) CH_2Cl_2, K_2CO_3, DMF, reflux, 4 h, (49.4% from **3**); (c) $LiAlH_4$, THF, 0°C, 1 h (94.2%); (d) MnO_2, 1,2-dichloroethane, rt, ultrasound irradiation, 24 h (94.6%); (e) *m*-CPBA, Na_2HPO_4, CH_2Cl_2, 0°C then reflux, overnight (80.0%); (f) MeI, K_2CO_3, acetone, reflux, 48 h (92.1%); (g) H_2, 10%Pd-C, EtOAc, rt, overnight (97.2%); (h) $POCl_3$, DMF, rt, 20 min then 75°C, 2 h (78.8%); (i) $PhCH_2Cl$, K_2CO_3, DMF, 50°C, 24 h (90.1%). (j) $(HCHO)_n$, HCl, 25°C, 4 h (82.1%); (k) P $(OC_2H_5)_3$, 140°C, 8 h; (l) aldehyde **13**, NaH, DMF, 0°C, 0.5 h; then rt, 48 h (48.5% from **13**); (m) H_2, Pd-C, EtOAc, rt, overnight (96.9%); (n) aldehyde **8**, NaH, DMF, 0°C, 0.5 h then rt, 36 h (51.1% from **8**); (o) H_2, Pd-C, EtOAc, rt, 48 h (97.0%); (p) $K_2S_2O_8$, KOH, pyridine, rt, 48 h (15.1%).

of 7-formylated product was found in the reaction mixture. Compound **12** was protected again with benzyl group to yield intermediate **13**.

The next task of converting 1,3-benzodioxole to the desired phosphonate **15** was achieved in a very simple two-step reaction sequence. Thus, the Blanc chloromethylation of 1,3-benzodioxole (**4**) with paraformaldehyde and hydrogen chloride provided benzyl chloride (**14**). Further, Michaelis–Arbuzov reaction of **14** with triethyl phosphite provided **15**, which could be used directly in the next step.

Having accomplished the preparations of aldehydes **13**, **8** and phosphonate **15**, attention was focused on the synthesis of the target molecules **1** and **2**. Compound **15** was treated with NaH in DMF, followed by the addition of **13** to give *trans*-stilbene **16** in 48.5%. Catalytic hydrogenation of **16** over palladium on carbon provided the target dihydrostilbene **1** in 96.9% yield, resulting from removal of the benzyl-protecting group and reduction of the double bond. Similarly, Horner reaction of **15** with **13** afforded the *trans*-stilbene **17** in 51.1%, which was hydrogenated to yield dihydrostilbene **18**. Elbs persulfate oxidation was employed in the introduction of a –OH group to the 4-position of **18** to give the natural product **2** in 15.1% yield. The synthetic materials **1** and **2** were identical in ^{1}H NMR, ^{13}C NMR and MS with the samples of the natural products.

In summary, the first total synthesis of two new natural dihydrostilbenes, 5-(2-benzo[1,3]-dioxole-5-ylethyl)-6-methoxybenzo[1,3]dioxole-4-ol (**1**) and 5-(2-benzo[1,3]dioxole-5-ylethyl)benzo[1,3]dioxole-4,7-diol (**2**), had thus been achieved in 10.5% and 3.3% overall yield, respectively. In addition, it was confirmed that Vilsmeier reaction of phenol **11** could provide the 5-formylated product **12** in a good yield. Although Elbs persulfate oxidation suffered from the major drawback of an unsatisfactory yield, this method allowed us to obtain the desired compound **2** with a high regio-selectivity. Application of this methodology toward the analogues of the natural products **1** and **2** for their structure–activity relationships is currently in progress.

3. Experimental

3.1 General experimental procedures

^{1}H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra were taken in $CDCl_3$ or 6d-DMSO solution on Bruker AVANCE-400, Bruker ARX-300 or Bruker ARX-600 spectrometers with TMS as the internal reference. MS spectra were obtained using Bruker Esquire 2000, JMS-DX300 or Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050A spectrometers. TLC was carried out on silica gel (GF_{254}). Column chromatography was run on silica gel (200–300 mesh) from Qingdao Ocean Chemicals. Compounds **5**, **6**, **7** and **8** were prepared according to the procedures reported by Brayer et al. [5,6].

3.2 Preparation

3.2.1 Compound 9. To a suspension of **8** (6.0 g, 23.4 mmol) and Na_2HPO_4 (6.0 g, 50.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (25 ml) was added portionwise *m*-CPBA (5.33 g, 30.9 mmol) at 0°C and then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The resulting mixture was refluxed overnight, then poured into ice-water, extracted with EtOAc, and worked up as usual. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 3:1)

to give **9** (4.57 g, 80.0%) as a white solid, mp 70.6–72.1°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 4.90 (1H, br s, –OH), 5.12 (2H, s, $-OCH_2Ph$), 5.88 (2H, s, H-2), 6.04 (1H, d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, H-6), 6.09 (1H, d, $J = 2.3$ Hz, H-4), 7.30–7.41 (5H, m, aromatic H). EI-MS *m/z*: 244 (M^+).

3.2.2 Compound 10. A mixture of **9** (4.5 g, 18.4 mmol), MeI (2.25 ml, 51.5 mmol) and anhydrous K_2CO_3 (4.5 g, 32.7 mmol) in anhydrous acetone (20 ml) was refluxed for 48 h. After filtration, the filtrate was acidified with 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc, then worked up as usual. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 5:1) to give **10** (4.37 g, 92.1%) as a colourless oil. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 3.71 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 5.17 (2H, s, $-OCH_2Ph$), 5.90 (2H, s, H-2), 6.12 (1H, d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, H-6), 6.18 (1H, d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, H-4), 7.31–7.44 (5H, m, aromatic H). EI-MS *m/z*: 258 (M^+), 200, 182, 165, 150.

3.2.3 Compound 11. A solution of **10** (4.0 g, 15.5 mmol) in EtOAc (20 ml) was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere in the presence of 10% Pd-C (0.2 g) at room temperature overnight. The catalyst was removed by filtration and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **11** (2.53 g, 97.2%) as a colourless oil. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 3.72 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 4.81 (1H, br s, –OH), 5.90 (2H, s, H-2), 6.05 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-6), 6.14 (1H, d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, H-4). EI-MS *m/z*: 168 (M^+), 153, 123.

3.2.4 Compound 12. $POCl_3$ (5.5 ml, 59.5 mmol) was added dropwise to DMF (10 ml, 129.4 mmol) over 15 min at 5°C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 min. **11** (2.5 g, 14.9 mmol) was added in one portion, and the mixture was slowly heated to 75°C and then stirred at this temperature for 2 h. The resulting mixture was cooled to 5°C and poured into water (50 ml). After filtration, the filter cake was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 4:1) to give **12** (2.3 g, 78.8%) as a white solid, mp 158.1–159.0°C (from EtOH). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 3.85 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 6.01 (2H, s, H-2), 6.07 (1H, s, H-7), 10.13 (1H, s, –CHO), 12.11 (1H, s, –OH). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 56.3 ($-OCH_3$), 85.6 (C-7), 102.5 (C-2), 107.1 (C-5), 127.4 (C-3a), 146.4 (C-4), 156.1 (C-7a), 160.7 (C-6), 192.8 (–CHO). ESI-MS *m/z*: 197 ($[M + H]^+$).

3.2.5 Compound 13. A mixture of benzyl chloride (1.42 g, 11.2 mmol), K_2CO_3 (2.25 g, 16.4 mmol) and **12** (2 g, 10.2 mmol) in DMF (10 ml) was stirred at 50°C for 24 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with EtOAc, then worked up as usual. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 3:1) to give **13** (2.48 g, 90.1%) as a light yellow solid, mp 92.2–94.1°C (from EtOH). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 3.84 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 5.35 (2H, s, $-OCH_2Ph$), 5.97 (2H, s, H-2), 6.27 (1H, s, H-7), 7.33-7.43 (5H, m, aromatic H), 10.33 (1H, s, –CHO). EI-MS *m/z*: 270 (M^+).

3.2.6 Compound 14. To a mixture of 1,3-benzodioxole **4** (6.1 g, 50.0 mmol) and paraformaldehyde (4.5 g, 150.0 mmol), conc. HCl (12.9 ml, 150.0 mmol) was added

dropwise at 20–25°C. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 4 h and then cooled to 15°C. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extract was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous $MgSO_4$. After removal of the solvent *in vacuo*, the crude product of **14** (7.0 g, 82.1%) was obtained as a yellow oil.

3.2.7 Compounds 15 and 16. A mixture of **14** (3.8 g, 22.3 mmol) and triethyl phosphite (7.6 g, 45.7 mmol) was refluxed at 140°C for 8 h, then residual triethyl phosphite was removed *in vacuo* to give compound **15** (6.0 g, 22.0 mmol). To a suspension of 92% NaH (0.3 g, 11.7 mmol) in dry DMF (5 ml) was added **15** (1.50 g, 5.85 mmol) in dry DMF (10 ml) dropwise at 0°C under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulted solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, to which aldehyde **3** (1.58 g, 5.85 mmol) in dry DMF (5 ml) was added dropwise at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h, then was poured into ice-water (100 ml). After filtration and washing with H_2O, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 3:1) to give the *trans*-stilbene **16** (1.1 g, 48.5% from **4**) as a white powder, mp 112.6–114.1°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 3.80 (1H, s, $-OCH_3$), 5.25 (2H, s, $-OCH_2Ph$), 5.89 (2H, s, H-2′), 5.93 (2H, s, H-2), 6.31 (1H, s, H-7), 6.74 (1H, d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, H-7′), 6.8 (1H, dd, $J = 8.0$ Hz, $J = 1.5$ Hz, H-6), 6.99 (1H, d, $J = 1.5$ Hz, H-4′), 7.12-7.47 (7H, m, aromatic H and $-CH=CH-$). EI-MS *m/z*: 388 (M^+).

3.2.8 Compound 1. A mixture of **2** (1.0 g, 2.58 mmol) and EtOAc (25 ml) in the presence of 10% Pd-C (0.05 g) was stirred overnight under a hydrogen atmosphere. The catalyst was removed by filtration and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give **1** (0.79 g, 96.9%) as a white powder, mp 94.6–96.1°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 2.69 (2H, m, $-CH_2-$), 2.83 (2H, m, $-CH_2-$), 3.72 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 4.80 (1H, br s, $-OH$), 5.87 (2H, s, H-2′), 5.91 (2H, s, H-2), 6.18 (1H, s, H-7), 6.60 (1H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, H-6′), 6.72 (1H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, H-7′), 6.73 (1H, s, H-4′). ^{13}C NMR ($CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 25.7 ($-CH_2-$), 35.4 ($-CH_2-$), 56.4 ($-OCH_3$), 87.7 (C-7), 100.7 (C-2′), 101.0 (C-2), 108.1 (C-7′), 109.1 (C-4′), 111.2 (C-5), 121.1 (C-6′), 128.4 (C-5′), 136.6 (C-3a), 137.9 (C-4), 145.5 (C-7′a), 146.2 (C-7a), 147.4 (C-3′a), 153.1 (C-6). HRFAB-MS *m/z*: 317.1030 (calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}O_6$, 317.1025 $[M + H]^+$).

3.2.9 Compound 17. To a suspension of 92% NaH (1.2 g, 46.8 mmol) in dry DMF (25 ml) was added compound **15** (6.0 g, 22.0 mmol) in dry DMF (50 ml) dropwise at 0°C under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulted solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, to which aldehyde **8** (5.2 g, 20.3 mmol) in dry DMF (25 ml) was added dropwise at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h, and then was poured into ice-water (500 ml). After filtration and washing with H_2O, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 5:1) to give the *trans*-stilbene **17** (3.88 g, 51.1% from **14**) as a white powder, mp 124.0–126.1°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 5.21 (2H, s, $-OCH_2Ph$), 5.97 (2H, s, H-2′), 5.98 (2H, s, H-2), 6.69 (1H, d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, $-CH=$), 6.70 (1H, d, $J = 16.4$ Hz, $=CH-$), 6.78 (1H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, H-7′), 6.80 (1H, s, H-6), 6.81 (1H, s, H-4), 6.89 (1H, d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, H-6′), 7.01 (1H, s, H-4′), 7.33–7.47 (5H, m, aromatic H). EI-MS *m/z*: 374 (M^+).

3.2.10 Compound 18. A solution of the *trans*-stilbene **17** (4 g, 10.6 mmol) in EtOAc (50 ml) was stirred in the presence of 10% Pd-C (0.2 g) under a hydrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 12 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo* to give dihydrostilbene **18** (2.94 g, 97.0%) as a slight yellow powder, mp 150.1–152.0°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ (ppm): 2.76 (4H, br s, $-CH_2-$), 4.70 (1H, br s, $-OH$), 5.92 (2H, s, H-2′), 5.93 (2H, s, H-2), 6.30 (1H, s, H-6), 6.31 (1H, s, H-4), 6.60 (1H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, H-6′), 6.65 (1H, s, H-4′), 6.71 (1H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, H-7′). EI-MS *m/z*: 286 (M^+).

3.2.11 Compound 2. Compound **18** (1.0 g, 3.5 mmol) in pyridine (20 ml) and 8% aqueous solution of KOH (12 ml) was oxidised by slow addition of $K_2S_2O_8$ (1.0 g, 5.2 mmol) in H_2O (20 ml) at room temperature over 2 h. After stirring at room temperature for 48 h, the reaction mixture was acidified to pH 2.0 with dilute HCl, filtered and extracted with Et_2O. Additional conc. HCl (20 ml) was added to the aqueous layer, which was refluxed for 1 h and then extracted with $CHCl_3$, washed with brine, and dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4. After removal of the solvent, the crude product was purified by column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1:1) to give **2** (0.15 g, 15.1%) as colourless crystals, mp 178.0–172.2°C (from EtOAc, *n*-hexane). ^{1}H NMR (600 MHz, 6d-DMSO) δ (ppm): 2.63 (4H, m, $-CH_2-$), 5.86 (2H, s, H-2′), 5.94 (2H, s, H-2), 6.11 (1H, s, H-6), 6.62 (1H, dd, $J = 7.9$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-6′), 6.77 (1H, d, $J = 1.2$ Hz, H-4′), 6.78 (1H, d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, H-7′), 8.47 (1H, s, $-OH$), 8.82 (1H, s, $-OH$). ^{13}C NMR (DMSO-d_6) δ (ppm): 32.3 ($-CH_2-$), 36.1 ($-CH_2-$), 100.8 (C-2′), 101.0 (C-2), 108.1 (C-7′), 109.2 (C-4′), 111.4 (C-6), 121.5 (C-6′), 124.4 (C-5), 131.7 (C-5′), 133.4 (C-7a), 134.0 (C-4), 136.3 (C-3a), 136.7 (C-7), 145.6 (C-7′a), 147.4 (C-3′a). HRFAB-MS *m/z*: 303.0881 (calcd for $C_{16}H_{15}O_6$, 303.0869 $[M + H]^+$).

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Professor Mao-sheng Cheng and Professor Ying-liang Wu, Shenyang Pharmaceutical University, for valuable discussion.

References

[1] H. Asahina, H. Yoshikawa, Y. Shuto. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.*, **62**, 1619 (1998).
[2] F. Mu, E. Hamel, D.J. Lee, D.E. Pryor, M. Cushman. *J. Med. Chem.*, **46**, 1670 (2003).
[3] H. Kobayashi, M. Namikoshi, T. Yoshimoto, T. Yokochi. *J. Antibiot.*, **49**, 873 (1996).
[4] State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine, *Zhong-Hua-Ben-Cao*, **8**, p. 681, Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Shanghai (1999).
[5] J.L. Brayer, D. Calvo, F. Ottello. *European Patent*, EP0491600 (1992).
[6] S. Jinno, T. Okita. *Heterocycles*, **51**, 303 (1999).